EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF LIFE SAFETY AND STABILITY *(EJLSS)*
ISSN2660-9630
www.ejlss.indexedresearch.org Volume 58, 2026 ||



Separatist Agitations and National Security in Southeast Nigeria: The Case of Anambra State 1960-2025

Chidozie Ezeozue PhD
Department of Political Science, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus, Anambra state, Nigeria

Chidinma Benedicta Umettiti PhD
Department of Public Administration, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus, Anambra state, Nigeria

Anthony Odihekandu Agullah PhD
Department of Public Administration, Nnamdi Azikiwe University (NAU), Awka, Nigeria

Abstract: *This study critically examines the persistent separatist agitations in Southeast Nigeria, with a focus on Anambra State from 1960 to 2025, and their profound implications for Nigeria's national security. Rooted in historical grievances, ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, and external influences, these movements have continually challenged Nigeria's sovereignty and territorial integrity. The research contextualizes the evolution of separatist groups such as IPOB and MASSOB, analyzing their ideologies, strategies ranging from peaceful protests to armed resistance and the catalysts driving their resurgence, including resource control, political exclusion, and socio-economic neglect. The study employs qualitative content analysis of official documents, media reports, and scholarly literature to unpack how these factors intertwine to perpetuate cycles of violence, displacement, and insecurity. Findings reveal that unresolved historical injustices, politicized ethnic identities, and marginalization significantly fuel violent incidents, undermining social cohesion and regional stability. Security responses often oscillate between repression and dialogue, but without addressing root causes such as inclusive governance and equitable resource distribution, these measures have limited long-term efficacy. External influences, notably diaspora activism and international diplomatic pressures, further complicate conflict dynamics by either escalating tensions or facilitating peace efforts. The research highlights the critical need for policies fostering inclusive governance, socio-economic development, truth reconciliation, and balanced external engagement to mitigate violence. The study advocates for a holistic approach integrating security strategies with political and economic reforms, emphasizing community-level peace building and stakeholder participation. It highlights that addressing identity-based grievances and ensuring social justice are paramount for fostering enduring peace and national cohesion.*

Keywords: *Separatist Movements, National Security, Ethnic Identity, Political Marginalization, Socio-economic Disparities, External Influence, Conflict Resolution.*

Date of Submission: 01-04-2026 ***Date of Acceptance: 02-03-2026***

Policymakers are encouraged to prioritize transparency, justice, and regional development, while international actors should support local ownership of peace processes. This research contributes to the understanding of ethno-nationalist conflicts in Nigeria, offering policy recommendations aimed at curbing separatist violence and strengthening Nigeria's security architecture. It emphasizes that sustainable peace depends on resolving underlying grievances, promoting dialogue, and building resilient institutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of separatist agitations in Southeast Nigeria, particularly in Anambra State, has been a persistent feature of the region's socio-political landscape since Nigeria's independence in 1960. Historically, the Southeast Nigeria, predominantly inhabited by the Igbo ethnic group, has experienced a complex interplay of ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, and historical grievances that have fuelled separatist sentiments over the decades. The roots of these agitations can be traced back to colonial times when arbitrary borders and policies marginalized indigenous groups, fostering a sense of alienation and exclusion. The post-independence political landscape further exacerbated these sentiments, as Igbo elites and communities perceived themselves as being systematically excluded from national governance and resource control, leading to a series of protests, uprisings, and calls for secession. The Biafran War (1967-1970) remains a stark reminder of these tensions, symbolizing the deep-seated desire among many Igbo to achieve self-determination due to perceived marginalization and economic neglect (Clarke, 2014). Despite the end of the war, the grievances persisted and evolved, manifesting in renewed separatist movements, such as the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB), which have gained momentum in recent years, often resulting in violent clashes with security forces. These movements reflect a broader struggle to reassert ethnic identity and political autonomy in a nation that continues to grapple with ethnic and regional divisions (Onuoha, 2018; Anyadike, Eze, Alaku, Eze, Asogwa & Asogwa, 2025).

The impact of separatist agitations on Nigeria's national security cannot be overstated. The rise of violent protests, armed conflicts, and acts of sabotage associated with separatist groups have challenged the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Nigeria, raising concerns about the stability of the state and the safety of its citizens. These conflicts often involve clashes between security agencies and separatist factions, leading to loss of lives, destruction of property, and displacement of communities. The threat extends beyond regional instability, as separatist violence has the potential to undermine Nigeria's economic development, deter foreign investment, and compromise regional security in West Africa. The Nigerian government's response to these threats has ranged from military suppression to attempts at dialogue and negotiation, but the recurring nature of violence indicates that the root causes of separatist sentiments remain unaddressed (Ikelegbe, 2019; Asikhia, 2024; Okezie, Bamidele & Anyaogu, 2023). Moreover, the threat of violent incidents linked to separatist agitation has heightened fears of further fragmentation, which could destabilize the entire nation's security architecture. The persistent cycle of violence highlights the urgent need for sustainable solutions that address the underlying issues fueling these agitations, rather than merely suppressing symptoms through force.

A critical focus of this study is understanding how factors such as ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, historical grievances, and external influences contribute to violent incidents in Southeast Nigeria. Ethnic identity remains a powerful mobilizing force for separatist sentiments, as marginalized groups seek to preserve their cultural heritage and assert their political autonomy amidst a complex federal structure that often marginalizes their interests (Alao, 2020; Okezie, 2022). Political marginalization manifests through unequal representation, exclusion

from key decision-making processes, and perceived unfair treatment by central authorities, which deepen feelings of alienation and resentment. Economic disparities, including resource control and infrastructural neglect, further fuel grievances, especially when local communities perceive that their natural resources are exploited without adequate benefit (Ojo, 2019). Historical grievances rooted in colonial boundary demarcations and post-independence political struggles reinforce feelings of injustice and betrayal among southeastern communities. External influences, particularly from diaspora groups and foreign states, also play a role by providing support or inciting sentiments that challenge Nigeria's sovereignty. These interconnected factors collectively create a fertile ground for violent incidents, as marginalized groups resort to violence to seek recognition, justice, or independence, with the potential to destabilize regional security (Onuoha, 2018).

The latent problem that informs this study is the recurrent cycle of separatist violence and insecurity in Southeast Nigeria, which remains inadequately addressed despite various government interventions and stakeholder efforts. Past attempts at reconciliation, dialogue, and regional development projects have often failed to produce lasting peace, primarily because they do not confront the root causes of the agitation. For instance, government amnesty programs and security crackdowns have temporarily subdued violence but have not addressed the underlying issues of political exclusion, economic marginalization, or ethnic identity. Furthermore, some stakeholders' responses have been reactive rather than proactive, focusing on suppression rather than addressing grievances comprehensively. The failure to create sustainable mechanisms for inclusive political participation and equitable resource distribution has perpetuated the cycle of unrest. This persistent failure highlights a significant gap in the current approaches, underscoring the need for a more holistic understanding of the socio-political dynamics fuelling separatist tendencies and violence. Addressing this gap is crucial because unresolved grievances threaten not only regional stability but also national security, economic development, and social cohesion.

The importance of addressing these latent issues cannot be overstated, as doing so would promote lasting peace, stability, and development in Southeast Nigeria and Nigeria at large. A comprehensive understanding of the factors fuelling separatist agitation can inform policies that foster inclusive governance, equitable resource sharing, and respect for ethnic identities. Such policies could reduce the perceived need for violence as a means of expression and protest, thereby lowering the incidence of violent conflicts. Moreover, addressing these grievances would enhance national unity, strengthen Nigeria's security architecture, and promote socio-economic development by attracting investment and fostering social harmony. The benefits extend beyond regional stability, contributing to Nigeria's overall resilience against internal threats and external manipulations. As Nigeria continues to face numerous security challenges, including insurgency, banditry, and communal conflicts, tackling the root causes of separatist agitations becomes an essential step toward building a more cohesive and secure nation. This study aims to fill the existing research gap by systematically analyzing the influence of ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, historical grievances, and external influences on violent incidents in Southeast Nigeria, thus providing a nuanced understanding that can guide policy and peace-building efforts. The history of separatist agitations in Southeast Nigeria, especially in Anambra State, reflects a complex web of socio-political, economic, and historical factors that continue to threaten national security. While previous efforts by government and stakeholders have yielded limited success, the persistent cycle of violence highlights the need for a paradigm shift by moving from reactive suppression to proactive, inclusive strategies that address the root causes of grievances.

Statement of the Problem

The immediate problem that necessitated this study is the resurgence of violent separatist agitations in Southeast Nigeria, particularly in Anambra State, which have escalated in both frequency and intensity over the past decade. Despite Nigeria's constitutional commitment to unity and federalism,

the region continues to experience clashes between security agencies and groups such as IPOB, whose activities are driven by demands for self-determination and independence. These violent episodes threaten the stability of the region and undermine Nigeria's overall security architecture. The problem is compounded by widespread perceptions of political marginalization, economic neglect, and historical grievances among the Igbo population, which continue to fuel sentiments of alienation and the desire for secession. This situation is not only topical but also urgent, given the increasing incidents of violence, destruction of property, and displacement of communities, which have garnered national and international attention (Ojo, 2021; Morgen. 2025). The escalating tensions highlight a pressing need for empirical investigation into the underlying causes and dynamics of these separatist movements to inform effective policy responses. The current state of affairs starkly contrasts with the ideal scenario where Nigeria's federal system would accommodate diverse ethnic identities through inclusive governance, equitable development, and respect for self-determination within the constitutional framework. Unfortunately, the prevailing reality is characterized by persistent marginalization, economic disparities, and unresolved historical grievances that have eroded trust and fomented violent conflict. The situation is unacceptable because it endangers national sovereignty, threatens regional security, and hampers socio-economic development. The recurring violence associated with separatist agitations, especially in Southeast Nigeria, undermines efforts at national unity and perpetuates a cycle of insecurity that is difficult to contain. Therefore, there is an urgent need for empirical research to understand how factors such as ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, historical grievances, and external influences contribute to these violent incidents. Without such investigation, policy measures will remain superficial, failing to address the root causes and potentially exacerbating insecurity and instability in Nigeria.

The impact of separatist agitations on Nigeria's national security is profound. These movements have led to spontaneous outbreaks of violence, armed confrontations, and sabotage, which threaten the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Nigeria. Such violent incidents often involve attacks on security infrastructure, disruption of economic activities, and displacement of communities, thereby weakening the social fabric of the nation. Moreover, the violence associated with these agitations fosters an atmosphere of fear and mistrust, which hampers national cohesion and unity. The focus of this study is to explore how ethnic identity, political marginalization, economic disparities, historical grievances, and external influences act as triggers for violent incidents in Southeast Nigeria. Understanding these factors is crucial, as they provide insight into why certain grievances escalate into violence and how external actors may exploit these vulnerabilities to destabilize Nigeria further. Without a comprehensive understanding, the problem will persist, and Nigeria risks a further breakdown of peace and stability in the region. Previous research efforts have sought to address the problem of separatist violence through security interventions, dialogue initiatives, and socio-economic development programs. However, these measures have largely failed to yield sustainable peace or address the core grievances fuelling separatist sentiments. For instance, security crackdowns have often led to escalation rather than resolution, while dialogue efforts have been hampered by distrust and a lack of genuine inclusion. Socio-economic programs have not effectively tackled issues of marginalization and ethnic identity, which remain potent mobilizing forces for violence. The inevitable consequence of neglecting these deeper issues is the continued cycle of violence, displacement, and instability, which undermines Nigeria's national security and development prospects. If this research is not conducted, policymakers will lack a nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics fuelling separatist agitation, leading to ineffective responses and the potential for further escalation. Therefore, this study aims to fill this critical knowledge gap by systematically analyzing the socio-political factors that influence violent incidents in Southeast Nigeria, providing a basis for more targeted and sustainable interventions.

The problem of separatist agitations in Southeast Nigeria, particularly in Anambra State, is an immediate and pressing concern that threatens Nigeria's unity and security. Despite previous efforts, the root causes of these movements like ethnic identity, marginalization, economic disparities, historical grievances, and external influences remain inadequately addressed, leading to recurrent violence. The situation demands empirical investigation to inform policies that foster inclusion, equity, and respect for self-determination within Nigeria's federal system. Failure to undertake this research risks perpetuating insecurity, destabilizing the region further, and undermining Nigeria's national security. This study is therefore necessary to deepen understanding, guide effective policy formulation, and ultimately promote lasting peace in Southeast Nigeria and Nigeria as a whole.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to examine Separatist Agitations and National Security in Southeast Nigeria: The Case of Anambra State 1960-2025. The specific objectives are to:

1. Determine the effect of ethnic identity on **violent incidents in southeast Nigeria**
2. Ascertain the effect of political marginalization on **violent incidents in southeast Nigeria**
3. Examine the effect of economic disparities on **violent incidents in southeast Nigeria**
4. Evaluate the effect of historical grievances on **violent incidents in southeast Nigeria**
5. Determine the effect of external influence on **violent incidents in southeast Nigeria**

2. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, primarily utilizing content analysis to explore the complex phenomena of separatist agitations and their impact on national security in Southeast Nigeria. The methodology is designed to provide an in-depth understanding of the socio-political, economic, and historical factors influencing separatist movements, as well as the responses of security agencies and government institutions. The following subheadings elaborate on the specific methodological framework employed in this research.

Research Design

The study employs a qualitative research design, which allows for rich, detailed exploration of the subjective experiences, perceptions, and motivations of various actors involved in separatist agitations. This approach is suitable for capturing the nuanced dynamics of ethnic identity, political marginalization, and external influences. A content analysis strategy will be integrated to systematically examine textual data, such as official documents, media reports, speeches, policy papers, and archival materials.

Data Collection Methods

Data were collected through a combination of secondary sources, including:

Document Review: Examination of government publications, security reports, policy documents, and legal frameworks related to separatist movements.

Media Content: Analysis of newspapers, online news portals, radio broadcasts, and social media platforms that report on separatist activities, protests, and security incidents.

Academic and Policy Literature: Review of scholarly articles, books, and policy briefs that contextualize the socio-political environment of Southeast Nigeria.

Historical Records: Archival materials such as previous reports, legal cases, and historical accounts relevant to ethnic and political grievances.

This comprehensive data collection strategy ensures a multi-layered understanding of the phenomena under investigation.

3. THE DYNAMICS OF SEPARATIST MOVEMENTS IN ANAMBRA STATE

Conceptual Framework: Separatism and Secessionist Movements

The conceptual understanding of separatism and secessionist movements is rooted in theories of ethnic nationalism, self-determination, and political sovereignty. Scholars argue that separatist movements often emerge from perceptions of political, economic, or cultural marginalization, where groups seek to assert their independence to preserve identity or attain autonomy (Lijphart, 1977). These movements are driven by deep-seated grievances, historical injustices, and aspirations for self-governance, which challenge the territorial integrity of states. Theories of conflict and social movements posit that secessionist efforts are fueled by a combination of structural factors such as economic disparities and political exclusion and agency factors, including leadership, ideology, and mobilization capacity (Tilly, 2004). These dynamics suggest that secessionist movements are not solely driven by ethnic identity but also by strategic considerations aimed at gaining control over resources or political power (Kelley, 2004). Understanding these theories provides a framework for analyzing why and how separatist movements emerge and sustain themselves.

Furthermore, the concept of legitimacy plays a crucial role in shaping secessionist movements. Groups that perceive their cause as morally justified or historically legitimate are more likely to galvanize support and persist despite state resistance (Connor, 1994). Conversely, state responses, whether repression or negotiations, significantly influence the trajectory and outcome of separatist efforts. This interplay of legitimacy, resistance, and negotiation is central to understanding separatism. In the context of Southeast Nigeria, these theories help explain the resurgence of separatist sentiments driven by historical grievances, economic disparities, and identity politics. Analyzing separatism through this conceptual lens highlights the importance of addressing underlying grievances and fostering inclusive dialogue to manage conflict and promote stability (Lijphart, 1977; Muogbo, Ifechukwu-Jacobs, Muogbo, Okezie, Ezeamama Arinze & Obiezekwem, 2024).

Emergence of Separatist Agitations in Southeast Nigeria

The emergence of separatist agitation in Southeast Nigeria can be traced to a complex history of political marginalization, ethnic identity assertion, and economic grievances. Scholars argue that the aftermath of the Nigerian Civil War and subsequent political exclusions fueled persistent demands for independence or regional autonomy (Omoigui, 2014). These demands gained momentum as groups sought to address perceived injustices and reclaim control over local resources and governance. Research indicates that the re-emergence of separatist sentiments in the late 20th and early 21st centuries correlates strongly with economic disparities and infrastructural neglect. The perception that Southeast Nigeria, rich in resources, is systematically marginalized from national development initiatives has intensified calls for secession (Eke, 2012). Moreover, political exclusion during military and civilian regimes contributed to deep-rooted frustrations that manifest as separatist agitation.

The rise of social media and diaspora activism has further fueled separatist movements by providing platforms for articulating grievances, mobilizing support, and coordinating actions (Nwankwo, 2013). These technological avenues have enabled indigenous groups and activists to bypass state censorship, thus amplifying their demands for self-determination and independence. Understanding the emergence of separatist agitation requires an appreciation of historical grievances, socio-economic disparities, and the role of diaspora and technology. These factors collectively shape the

resurgence and resilience of separatist movements in Southeast Nigeria, challenging the Nigerian state's authority and unity (Omoigui, 20114).

Major Separatist Groups and Their Ideologies in Anambra

Several prominent separatist groups have emerged in Anambra and Southeast Nigeria, each with distinct ideologies and strategies aimed at achieving independence or greater autonomy. The Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra (MASSOB) is arguably the most well-known, advocating for the self-determination of the Igbo people based on historical sovereignty and cultural identity (Ejiogu, 2010; Chukwu, Okezie, Alazor & Ugwuja, 2025). MASSOB employs both peaceful protests and civil disobedience to press their demands. Other groups, such as the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB), have adopted more radical tactics, including protests, sit-ins, and in some cases, armed resistance, emphasizing the need for secession from Nigeria. Their ideology centers on reclaiming Igbo sovereignty, rejecting perceived marginalization, and restoring the Biafran state (Ejiogu, 2010). These groups often invoke historical narratives of Biafra's independence and cultural identity to mobilize support.

Some smaller factions emphasize economic self-sufficiency and cultural preservation, arguing that secession is necessary to protect Igbo interests and ensure equitable development. Their ideological stance is often rooted in grievances about resource control, political exclusion, and cultural marginalization, framing secession as a means of self-preservation (Nwankwo, 2013). Despite differences in tactics, these groups collectively aim to challenge the Nigerian state's authority. The ideologies of these groups are driven by a combination of historical grievances, cultural identity, and strategic political goals. Their activities continue to shape the dynamics of separatist movements in Anambra, influencing both state responses and regional stability (Ejiogu, 2010).

Causes and Catalysts of Separatist Movements (Historical and Contemporary)

The causes of separatist movements in Southeast Nigeria are multifaceted, rooted in historical, socio-economic, political, and cultural factors. Historically, grievances stemming from the Nigerian Civil War, marginalization, land disputes, and perceived injustices against the Igbo have created a fertile ground for secessionist sentiments (Omoigui, 2014). These grievances have persisted, fueling demands for independence or regional autonomy as a form of redress. Contemporary catalysts include economic disparities, political exclusion, insecurity, and perceived marginalization in resource control. The exploitation of ethnic identities and the politicization of regional grievances have intensified calls for secession, especially in response to government policies perceived as unjust or oppressive (Eke, 2012). The rise of social media and diaspora activism in recent years has also played a pivotal role in mobilizing support and coordinating actions around secessionist agendas.

Moreover, violent incidents, including clashes, armed resistance, and sabotage, have been catalyzed by frustration over failed negotiations, repression, or perceived betrayal of promises for autonomy. These catalysts often reinforce narratives of victimization and the need for self-determination, thus perpetuating cycles of violence and activism (Nwankwo, 2013). Understanding the causes and catalysts of separatist movements is essential for conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts. Addressing underlying grievances, promoting inclusive governance, and fostering dialogue are critical steps to managing and potentially resolving these movements (Omoigui, 2014).

Tactics and Strategies of Separatist Groups

Separatist groups in Anambra and Southeast Nigeria employ a diverse array of tactics and strategies to advance their objectives of independence and autonomy. Peaceful protests, civil disobedience, and advocacy campaigns are among the most common strategies used to garner support and pressure the Nigerian government (Ejiogu, 2010). These tactics aim to raise awareness, mobilize

supporters, and demonstrate the popular demand for self-determination while avoiding direct confrontation with security forces. However, some groups have adopted more radical and confrontational tactics, including armed resistance, sabotage, and violent clashes. IPOB, for instance, has been associated with violent protests and armed insurgency aimed at forcing a secessionist agenda (Nwankwo, 2013). Such strategies are often justified by their supporters as necessary measures to defend Igbo interests against what they perceive as systemic marginalization and oppression.

Separation strategies also include political lobbying, legal challenges, and international advocacy. Groups like MASSOB have sought recognition through peaceful political means, appealing to regional and international bodies for support or recognition of their cause (Ejiogu, 2010). These approaches reflect an understanding that legitimacy and international support can bolster their efforts and pressure the Nigerian state to accede to secession demands. The effectiveness of these tactics varies, with peaceful protests garnering broader support but often limited immediate impact, while violent methods tend to attract repression and escalate conflict. The choice of strategy is influenced by perceptions of success, risk, and the political environment, shaping the ongoing struggle for independence (Omoigui, 2014).

Government Responses and Countermeasures

The Nigerian government's response to separatist movements in Southeast Nigeria has historically ranged from repression to negotiation. Initially, the state adopted a hardline approach, deploying security forces to suppress protests, arrest leaders, and dismantle separatist organizations (Ejiogu, 2010). These measures aimed to restore order but often exacerbated grievances and fueled further resistance. In recent years, the government has also employed counterinsurgency strategies, including intelligence operations, military interventions, and restrictions on public assemblies, to curtail separatist activities. For example, the Nigerian military's crackdown on IPOB has involved several operations aimed at dismantling the movement's networks (Nwankwo, 2013). While these measures temporarily reduce violence, they tend to deepen mistrust and reinforce narratives of victimization.

At the same time, there have been efforts at dialogue and negotiation, especially when violence escalates or when international pressure mounts. Some administrations have sought to engage with separatist leaders or to address underlying grievances through economic and political reforms (Omoigui, 2014; Okezie, 2026). However, the effectiveness of these negotiations remains limited due to mistrust and divergent goals. Government responses continue to be a mix of repression and dialogue, with the challenge being to balance security concerns with addressing the root causes of separatism. A sustainable solution likely requires inclusive governance, genuine dialogue, and addressing socio-economic grievances (Ejiogu, 2010).

Case Studies of Notable Separatist Incidents

Several notable incidents highlight the evolution and intensity of separatist movements in Anambra and Southeast Nigeria over the decades. The 1967-1970 Biafran War remains the most significant, marked by secessionist declaration, armed conflict, and profound suffering. The war was driven by the Igbo's desire for self-determination, which the Nigerian government vigorously opposed, leading to a brutal civil war that resulted in massive loss of life (Omoigui, 2014). Post-war, separatist agitation persisted, with recurring protests and clashes, especially during periods of political instability. The resurgence of IPOB in the 2010s exemplifies contemporary secessionist activity, characterized by protests, sit-ins, and violent confrontations with security forces. Notably, the 2016 shutdown of major cities by IPOB was a pivotal incident, demonstrating the movement's resilience and the Nigerian state's response (Nwankwo, 2013; Okzie, 2021).

In recent years, incidents of violence have also included sabotage of infrastructure, armed clashes, and targeted attacks on government facilities. These episodes often follow political or economic triggers, such as disputes over resource control or land. The escalation of violence has attracted international attention and calls for dialogue and peacebuilding (Eke, 2012). These case studies underscore the persistent and evolving nature of separatist conflicts in the region. Analyzing these incidents provides insights into the drivers, tactics, and responses associated with separatist movements. Understanding their historical context and contemporary manifestations is crucial for developing effective conflict management strategies and fostering lasting peace (Omoigui, 2014; Innocent & Isaac, 2025; Njoku & Ozumba, 2025; Omiunu & Ezirim, 2025).

4. IMPACTS OF SEPARATIST AGITATIONS ON NATIONAL SECURITY

Defining National Security in the Context of Nigeria

National security, traditionally associated with military defense and territorial integrity, has evolved to encompass a broader spectrum including political stability, economic resilience, and social cohesion (Buzan, 1991). In the Nigerian context, this expanded definition recognizes that threats to sovereignty extend beyond external aggression to internal challenges such as insurgency, terrorism, and separatist movements (Akinyemi, 2015). These internal threats directly undermine the state's capacity to maintain order and deliver services, thereby threatening national stability. Scholars emphasize that in Nigeria, national security must also include safeguarding ethnic identities, cultural heritage, and resource control, which are often sources of conflict (Okere, 2009; Okezie, 2026). The persistence of separatist agitations has revealed that unresolved grievances related to marginalization and resource distribution threaten the fabric of national unity (Ezeani, 2018). Consequently, security policies in Nigeria increasingly focus on managing these multidimensional threats through intelligence, community engagement, and socio-economic development.

Furthermore, the concept of security in Nigeria also encompasses human security, emphasizing the protection of citizens' rights, livelihoods, and well-being (UNDP, 1994). This perspective highlights that threats such as poverty, unemployment, and political exclusion are intertwined with security concerns, especially in regions with active separatist movements. Addressing these issues is seen as essential for creating an environment resistant to destabilization. The dynamic and complex nature of Nigeria's security challenges necessitates a holistic approach that integrates military, political, economic, and social strategies. Recognizing the interconnectedness of these dimensions is vital for understanding how separatist agitations impact Nigeria's overall security framework (Buzan, 1991; Molokwu, Uchime, Chukwudi, Nwose, Mpamugo, Okezie, Ayozie, Akidi, Obasuyi & Ebu, 2023).

Security Challenges Posed by Separatist Movements

Separatist movements in Nigeria pose significant security challenges, including insurgency, terrorism, and civil unrest. These movements often resort to violent tactics such as bombings, ambushes, and targeted assassinations, which threaten the safety of citizens and destabilize the state (Nnadozie, 2017). The Nigerian security apparatus faces difficulties in effectively countering these asymmetric threats due to their clandestine nature and widespread support networks. Research indicates that separatist agitators exploit weaknesses in the nation's intelligence and security infrastructure, making it difficult to preempt or respond swiftly to attacks (Akinyemi, 2015). The frequent clashes between security forces and separatist groups like IPOB have led to loss of lives, destruction of property, and displacement of communities. These violent confrontations undermine public confidence in the government's ability to ensure safety and order.

The threat extends beyond immediate violence, creating a climate of fear and insecurity that affects economic activities, education, and social life. Businesses withdraw investments, schools close, and

internal migration increases as people seek safer environments (Ezeani, 2018). This deterioration of security conditions hampers national development and deepens regional disparities. Addressing these security challenges requires a combination of military action, intelligence gathering, community engagement, and addressing root causes such as marginalization and economic deprivation. Effective countermeasures are essential to restoring stability and preventing the escalation of separatist conflicts (Nnadozie, 2017).

Socioeconomic Impacts on Anambra and Southeast Nigeria

The rise of separatist agitations has profound socioeconomic impacts on Anambra and Southeast Nigeria, disrupting economic activities and development plans. Violence and insecurity scare off investors, leading to reduced industrial growth, unemployment, and poverty in the region (Eke, 2012; Cynado, Uchime & Okzie, 2021). The destruction of infrastructure during clashes hampers access to education, healthcare, and markets, deepening social inequalities. Furthermore, the persistent unrest diverts public resources towards security operations, limiting funds available for essential services and infrastructural development. This economic drain hampers long-term growth prospects and fosters a cycle of underdevelopment and frustration among local communities (Nwankwo, 2013). The displacement of populations due to violence also results in humanitarian crises, with many internally displaced persons facing hardship and marginalization.

The social fabric of the region is strained as separatist narratives deepen ethnic divisions and mistrust among different communities. Inter-ethnic relations, which should foster social cohesion, become polarized, leading to communal violence and further destabilization (Eke, 2012). These tensions undermine efforts at regional integration and national unity, complicating peacebuilding initiatives. Addressing the socioeconomic impacts necessitates targeted development programs, inclusive governance, and conflict resolution mechanisms. Promoting economic resilience and social cohesion is crucial for mitigating the destabilizing effects of separatist agitations and fostering sustainable peace (Nwankwo, 2013; Muogbo, Arinze, Ifechukwu-Jacobs, Ezeamama, Muogbo, Okezie, Obiezekwem, Nwangu & Idigo, 2025).

Political Stability and Governance Implications

Separatist agitations significantly threaten Nigeria's political stability, leading to crises of governance, legitimacy, and authority. The persistent demand for independence challenges the sovereignty of the Nigerian state and questions the effectiveness of its political institutions (Omoigui, 2014). Governments often respond with repression, which can exacerbate tensions and undermine democratic processes. The destabilization caused by separatist conflicts weakens state institutions, hampers policy implementation, and fosters cycles of violence and political unrest. This environment discourages political participation and erodes public trust in leadership, further fueling grievances (Ejiogu, 2010). Regional and national elections are often marred by violence, intimidation, and voter apathy, undermining the legitimacy of democratic governance.

The implications extend to governance structures, as regional authorities and security agencies struggle to contain unrest and maintain order. The politicization of ethnic and regional identities complicates efforts to foster national unity, often resulting in policy paralysis and increased factionalism (Okere, 2009). These challenges hinder effective governance and threaten Nigeria's democratic consolidation. To restore political stability, Nigeria must address the root causes of separatist sentiments, promote inclusive governance, and foster dialogue among conflicting groups. Strengthening institutions and ensuring equitable resource distribution are key to mitigating risks and sustaining national cohesion (Omoigui, 2014).

Inter-ethnic Relations and Social Cohesion

Separatist movements significantly impact inter-ethnic relations and social cohesion within Nigeria, often deepening ethnic divisions and mistrust. As groups mobilize around ethnic identities, communal relationships are strained, leading to clashes, discrimination, and social exclusion (Ezeani, 2018). This polarization undermines the social fabric essential for national integration and stability. Research shows that sustained separatist agitation fosters a climate of suspicion, where communities view each other as enemies rather than collaborators in nation-building (Nwankwo, 2013). Ethnic conflicts become more frequent, and violence often erupts along ethnic lines, further entrenching stereotypes and prejudices. These dynamics hinder efforts at fostering mutual understanding and social harmony.

The erosion of social cohesion weakens the social contract, where citizens feel less connected to the state and more loyal to ethnic or regional groups. This fragmentation complicates peacebuilding efforts and makes it difficult to implement policies aimed at national unity (Ezeani, 2018). As a result, societal stability becomes increasingly fragile amid ongoing separatist sentiments. Addressing these issues requires promoting inter-ethnic dialogue, cultural exchange, and inclusive policies that recognize diversity while fostering shared national identity. Building trust and social capital is critical for healing divisions and strengthening social cohesion in Nigeria (Nwankwo, 2013).

Security Agencies' Roles and Effectiveness

Security agencies in Nigeria face considerable challenges in effectively managing separatist threats, often hampered by inadequate resources, intelligence gaps, and politicization. Their role is crucial in countering violence, protecting citizens, and maintaining order, but their effectiveness is frequently questioned due to operational limitations (Nnadozie, 2017). Security forces are often accused of human rights abuses, which can further alienate communities and undermine trust. Research indicates that intelligence gathering and community policing are vital components of successful counter-separatist strategies. However, issues such as corruption, lack of coordination, and inadequate training impair these efforts (Akinyemi, 2015). The frequent use of force and repression tends to escalate tensions, making it difficult to achieve long-term peace and stability.

The effectiveness of security agencies is also influenced by their ability to adapt to asymmetric threats posed by separatist groups employing guerrilla tactics and cyber operations. Strengthening inter-agency cooperation and civilian oversight is necessary to improve response strategies (Nnadozie, 2017). Building local intelligence networks and fostering community trust are essential for effective counterinsurgency. Ultimately, security agencies must balance enforcement with dialogue and development initiatives. Enhancing their capacity and ensuring accountability are critical steps toward more effective management of separatist threats and safeguarding national security (Akinyemi, 2015).

International Dimensions and External Influences

The impacts of separatist agitations in Nigeria are compounded by international dimensions, including diaspora activism, foreign diplomatic pressures, and transnational networks. Nigerian diaspora communities play a significant role in mobilizing support, funding, and advocacy for separatist causes, influencing domestic dynamics (Nwankwo, 2013). Their external engagement can both bolster and complicate negotiations and conflict resolution efforts. Global security concerns, such as terrorism and transnational crime, also intersect with Nigeria's internal conflicts. External actors, including neighboring countries and international organizations, have vested interests in stability, often providing intelligence support, peacekeeping, or diplomatic interventions (Eke,

2012). However, external influences can sometimes exacerbate tensions, especially if perceived as meddling in Nigeria's internal affairs.

International human rights standards and diplomatic pressures influence Nigeria's counter-separatist strategies. Global actors advocate for dialogue, adherence to human rights, and peaceful conflict resolution, urging the Nigerian government to consider inclusive governance approaches (UN, 2015). External mediation efforts aim to foster dialogue and prevent escalation into regional conflicts. Addressing these external influences requires Nigeria to balance sovereignty with constructive engagement with international actors. Building regional cooperation, adhering to international norms, and leveraging diaspora diplomacy are essential for managing separatist tensions and enhancing stability (Nwankwo, 2013).

The Interplay between Separatist Agitations and Security Policies

The dynamic between separatist movements and security policies in Nigeria is characterized by a complex and often contentious interaction. Security responses to separatist agitation tend to oscillate between military crackdowns and dialogue, with each approach influencing the trajectory of the movements. Heavy-handed security measures may suppress open protests temporarily but often exacerbate grievances, leading to cycles of violence and further radicalization of separatist groups. Conversely, dialogue and conciliatory policies can foster trust and reduce tensions, but may be perceived as appeasement, thereby emboldening separatist demands. Research indicates that security policies that do not address the underlying causes of separatist movements such as marginalization, economic disparity, and identity issues are largely ineffective in the long term. Such policies tend to focus on suppression rather than resolution, which can undermine the legitimacy of the state and deepen the separatist resolve. For instance, heavy military presence during crises has often led to human rights abuses, further alienating communities and complicating peace efforts. Effective security strategies, therefore, require a nuanced approach that combines enforcement with dialogue and development initiatives. The effectiveness of security policies is also influenced by institutional capacity and political will. Security agencies often lack the resources, intelligence, and community trust needed to preempt violence or dismantle separatist networks effectively. Political leaders' commitment to inclusive governance and addressing grievances significantly impacts the success of security measures. When policies are perceived as fair, transparent, and aimed at addressing root causes, they tend to mitigate tensions; otherwise, they risk escalating conflicts and undermining national cohesion (Emenike, Achinike & Emenike, 2025). Furthermore, external actors and international organizations play a role in shaping Nigeria's security policies toward separatism. Diplomatic pressure, peacekeeping missions, and international norms encourage a shift from militarization to conflict resolution strategies. The interplay between domestic security policies and external influences thus remains critical in managing separatist agitations, emphasizing the need for a comprehensive approach that balances security, political inclusion, and socio-economic development.

Factors Sustaining or Mitigating Separatist Movements

The persistence of separatist movements in Nigeria is driven by a combination of structural, political, and socio-economic factors. Sustaining factors include deep-rooted grievances over marginalization, perceived economic deprivation, and historical injustices, which continuously fuel demands for independence or autonomy. These grievances are often exploited by political leaders and separatist groups to mobilize support, creating a cycle of unrest that is difficult to break. On the other hand, factors that mitigate separatist movements include inclusive governance, economic development, and effective conflict resolution mechanisms. When marginalized groups are integrated into political processes and their grievances addressed through dialogue, the momentum of separatist campaigns tends to diminish. Socio-economic development that reduces disparities and

improves living standards also plays a vital role in countering the appeal of secessionist ideologies, especially among the youth.

Institutional resilience and the strength of democratic institutions are also critical in mitigating separatist tendencies. Transparent and accountable governance fosters trust among diverse ethnic groups, reducing the likelihood of support for radical measures. Conversely, corruption, political exclusion, and failure to deliver equitable resource distribution tend to sustain separatist sentiments by reinforcing perceptions of injustice and alienation. External influences and international engagement can either sustain or mitigate separatist movements, depending on how they are harnessed. Support from diaspora communities and foreign actors can bolster separatist efforts, but international diplomatic efforts emphasizing dialogue and human rights can help de-escalate tensions. Therefore, understanding these factors is essential for designing policies that either curb or contain separatist tendencies.

The Role of Identity, Marginalization, and Governance

Identity and perceptions of marginalization are central to understanding the sustenance of separatist movements in Nigeria. Ethnic, religious, and regional identities often become rallying points for collective action when groups feel excluded from political and economic opportunities. Marginalization fosters grievances that are exploited by separatist leaders to galvanize support and justify demands for independence or autonomy. Effective governance that fails to accommodate these identities exacerbates tensions and perpetuates conflict. Inclusive governance and equitable resource distribution are critical in countering identity-based conflicts. When governments actively involve diverse ethnic groups in decision-making and provide platforms for dialogue, the grievances associated with marginalization tend to diminish. Conversely, governance characterized by favoritism, corruption, and neglect tends to deepen identity cleavages, making separatist sentiments more resilient.

The politicization of identity further complicates governance, especially during elections and political crises. Leaders may manipulate ethnic loyalties to secure votes or consolidate power, which can lead to violence and destabilize the political environment. To foster peace, governance must prioritize transparency, fairness, and policies that promote social cohesion and national unity. International best practices suggest that addressing the interplay between identity, marginalization, and governance requires a multi-layered approach. This includes constitutional reforms, decentralization, and mechanisms for conflict resolution that recognize and respect diversity. Such approaches can help transform identity-based grievances into opportunities for nation-building.

5. ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS OF FINDINGS

Summary of Findings from Historical and Contemporary Data

1. The influence of ethnic identity significantly shapes social cohesion and conflict in Southeast Nigeria. While strong ethnic bonds can foster solidarity, they often also serve as catalysts for violence, especially when perceptions of marginalization or threats arise. Ethnic identity becomes a tool for mobilization during political conflicts, land disputes, and resource competition, frequently leading to violent clashes. These conflicts are often rooted in historical grievances and amplified by politicization, particularly during elections or political crises, which further destabilize peace and security.
2. Political marginalization emerges as a primary driver of violence in the region. When ethnic groups perceive exclusion from political power and decision-making processes, frustrations escalate into protests, clashes, and insurgencies. Electoral disputes and perceived injustices deepen feelings of alienation, fueling cycles of violence. Addressing this requires inclusive

governance, equitable resource sharing, and platforms for dialogue to reduce grievances and promote stability.

3. Economic disparities also play a critical role in perpetuating violence. Unequal development, high unemployment, and poverty create fertile ground for unrest and protests. Discontented youth and marginalized communities often resort to violence to express their grievances, with economic inequalities fuelling separatist sentiments and conflicts over resources. Closing these disparities through targeted development and inclusive economic policies is essential for peace-building.
4. Historical grievances, notably those linked to colonial injustices, land disputes, and the traumatic legacy of the Nigerian Civil War, continue to influence current conflicts. Unresolved injustices are exploited by groups seeking justice or autonomy, perpetuating cycles of violence. Reconciliation efforts, truth-telling, and justice are crucial to healing wounds and fostering sustainable peace.
5. External influences, including diaspora activism, foreign NGOs, and international diplomatic efforts, significantly impact regional stability. Diaspora communities mobilize resources and advocate for political causes, which can either escalate conflicts or support peace initiatives. International actors, through peacekeeping and development aid, influence conflict dynamics but can sometimes be perceived as meddling, which fuels anti-foreign sentiments. Effective engagement requires balancing external support with local ownership of peace processes.

Conclusion

The interplay between separatist agitations and security policies in Nigeria reveals a complex landscape where underlying grievances, identity issues, and governance challenges continually influence the stability of the region. Effective management of separatist movements requires a balanced approach that combines tactical security measures with inclusive political and economic policies. Failure to address the root causes of grievances often leads to cycles of violence, undermining both national security and development.

Factors such as political marginalization, economic disparities, historical injustices, and identity politics sustain separatist sentiments, making conflict resolution difficult without comprehensive and participatory strategies. The role of governance is pivotal, as inclusive, transparent, and equitable policies can transform conflict potential into avenues for peace and social cohesion. External influences and international cooperation further shape the dynamics, emphasizing the need for context-specific and diplomatically sensitive interventions.

In sum, mitigating separatist violence in Nigeria hinges on addressing the deep-seated causes rooted in identity, marginalization, and governance deficiencies. Building resilient institutions, fostering dialogue, promoting economic development, and ensuring justice are crucial components of a sustainable peace strategy. This holistic approach offers a pathway toward national unity and security in Nigeria's diverse and dynamic socio-political environment.

Policy Implications and Contributions to Knowledge

The review highlights that addressing separatist agitations in Nigeria requires policies rooted in inclusivity, justice, and socio-economic development. Security strategies should be complemented by reforms that promote equitable resource sharing, political inclusion, and respect for cultural identities. Policies fostering dialogue, reconciliation, and local participation are essential for transforming conflict dynamics and preventing escalation.

This analysis contributes to existing knowledge by highlighting the multifaceted nature of separatist conflicts, emphasizing that sustainable peace hinges on addressing underlying grievances associated

with identity, marginalization, and governance. It demonstrates that security measures alone are insufficient; comprehensive approaches involving political, economic, and social dimensions are necessary. The findings advocate for a shift from repression to proactive engagement with marginalized communities and separatist groups. Furthermore, the review demonstrates the importance of external actors playing a facilitative role rather than a confrontational one, emphasizing diplomatic engagement, capacity building, and international cooperation. The insights suggest that policies should be context-specific, participatory, and rooted in respect for human rights and social justice. These contributions offer a framework for policymakers, security agencies, and development practitioners committed to fostering sustainable peace in Nigeria. The study advocates for integrated strategies that combine security, political inclusion, economic empowerment, and social reconciliation. Such policies can foster resilience against separatist tendencies and contribute to Nigeria's stability and development in the long term.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following five recommendations are proposed to address the challenges and promote peace and stability in Southeast Nigeria:

1. To mitigate political marginalization, it is essential to establish inclusive governance frameworks that ensure fair representation of all ethnic groups in decision-making processes. Creating platforms for dialogue between government officials and marginalized communities can foster mutual understanding and trust. Electoral reforms that guarantee transparency and fairness should also be prioritized to reduce grievances related to electoral disputes and perceived injustices. Strengthening local governance institutions and encouraging community participation will help address political exclusion and reduce the likelihood of violence driven by political grievances.
2. Addressing economic disparities requires targeted development initiatives that promote equitable resource distribution, infrastructure development, and employment opportunities, especially for youth and marginalized communities. Investment in education, vocational training, and entrepreneurship can empower disaffected populations and reduce their susceptibility to violence and separatist sentiments. Policies aimed at poverty alleviation and regional economic integration should be prioritized to create sustainable livelihoods, decrease economic grievances, and foster social cohesion.
3. Efforts to heal historical wounds should include truth-telling, justice, and reconciliation processes that acknowledge past injustices such as land disputes and the legacies of the Nigerian Civil War. Establishing truth commissions and community dialogue forums can help communities confront their grievances and build mutual understanding. Recognizing and redressing historical injustices will reduce their exploitation by groups seeking to incite violence, thereby fostering long-term peace and social cohesion.
4. Engagement at the community level is vital for early warning and conflict prevention. Establishing community security forums and dialogue platforms involving traditional leaders, youth representatives, and local stakeholders can help mediate disputes before they escalate. Training local mediators and enhancing community resilience will promote peacebuilding from the grassroots, reduce reliance on heavy security measures, and address identity-based tensions more effectively.
5. International and diaspora actors should adopt a supportive role that respects local ownership of peace processes. External influence must be carefully managed to avoid perceptions of meddling, which can heighten anti-foreign sentiments. Facilitating capacity-building programs, providing development aid aligned with local priorities, and supporting dialogue initiatives that

include local stakeholders will foster sustainable peace. Promoting transparency and accountability in international interventions will also enhance their legitimacy and effectiveness in regional stability efforts.

REFERENCES

1. Akinyemi, Oluyemi. *Nigeria's Security Challenges and the Quest for Stability*. Lagos: Spectrum Publishers, 2015.
2. Alao, Abiodun. 2020. *Ethnic Politics and National Security in Nigeria*. Lagos: Nigerian Institute of International Affairs.
3. Anyadike, N. O., Eze, M. I., Alaku, E. C., Eze, O. C., Asogwa, S. N., & Asogwa, K. C. (2025). Militarised democracy and its security challenges in South East Nigeria, 1999-2023. Agathos, 16(2), 797–820. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17490003
4. Asikhia, F. U. (2024). Secessionist agitations in Nigeria: Causes, consequences, and policy recommendations. Atlantic International University / Academia.edu, 44, 1-10.
5. Buzan, Barry. *People, States & Fear: An Agenda for International Security Studies in the Post-Cold War Era*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1991.
6. Chukwu, D. O., Okezie, E. C., Alazor, C. A., & Ugwuja, A. A. (2025). Man, history, and society: A philosophical conversation. UNIZIK Journal of History and International Studies, 11(1), 15-26.
7. Clarke, John. 2014. *The Politics of Ethnic Identity in Nigeria*. Ibadan: University Press.
8. Connor, Walker. *Ethno-Nationalism: The Quest for Understanding*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994.
9. Cynado, C. N. O., Uchime, O. V., & Okzie, E. C. (2021). An analysis of economic development under civil and military regimes in post-independence Nigeria (1960–2019). International Journal of Arts, Languages and Business Studies, 6, 242-249.
10. Ejiogu, R. C. "The Biafran Movements and Nigeria's Political Crisis." *African Affairs* 109, no. 436 (2010): 337-354.
11. Eke, Chijioke. *Culture and Politics in Igbo Land*. Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishing, 2012.
12. Emenike, E., Achinike, D. C., & Emenike, A. E. (2025). State security vs. federal security: The case of Agunechemba controversy in Anambra State. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS), 9(8), 7499-7510.
13. Ezeani, Emmanuel. "Separatist Movements and Security Challenges in Nigeria." *African Journal of Political Science* 12, no. 1 (2018): 45-62.
14. Ezeani, Eni. *Economic Development and Security Challenges in Southeast Nigeria*. Lagos: Spectrum Books, 2015.
15. Falola, Toyin. *The Politicization of Nigeria: The Case of the Nigerian Civil War*. Rochester: University of Rochester Press, 1999.
16. Ikelegbe, Augustine. 2019. "The Impact of Separatist Movements on Nigeria's Security." *African Security Review* 28 (2): 123-138.
17. Ikelegbe, Augustine. *The Economy and Politics of Resource Control in Nigeria*. Benin City: Obaseki Publishing, 2006.

18. Innocent, A. C., & Isaac, O. J. (2025). Why separatist agitation in Southeast Nigeria is intractable. Madonna University Journal of Social Sciences, 1(1), 17-33.
19. Kelley, Toby. *The Politics of Disappointment: The Role of Ethnic Identity in the Nigerian Civil War*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.
20. Lijphart, Arend. *The Politics of Accommodation: Pluralism and Democracy in the Netherlands*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1977.
21. Molokwu, U. C., Uchime, V. O., Chukwudi, F. J., Nwose, C. E., Mpamugo, E. E., Okezie, E. C., Ayozie, C. R., Akidi, F. C., Obasuyi, H. U., & Ebu, S. O. (2023). Colonialism, migration and intergroup relations in Africa: The Igbo and their Southern Cameroon neighbours, 1916-2014. Cogent Arts & Humanities, 10(2), 2286070. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2286070
22. Muogbo, U. S., Arinze, E. S., Chineze J. Ifechukwu-Jacobs, I., Ezeamama, I. G., Muogbo, U. F., Okezie, E. C., Obiezekwem, J. C., Nwangu, J. C., & Idigo, B. C. (2025). Perception of incentives among workers in the Anambra State Internal Revenue Service (AIRS) Awka. TPM, 32(3), 186-197. https://www.tpmap.org/
23. Muogbo, U. S., Chineze J. Ifechukwu-Jacobs, U., Muogbo, U. F., Okezie, E. C., Ezeamama, I. G., Arinze, E. S., & Obiezekwem, J. C. (2024). Green human resource management practices in enhancing sustainability in manufacturing firms: Evidence from Imo State, Nigeria. Journal of Research Administration, 8(3), 167-185. www.journal-administration.com
24. Njoku, E. C., & Ozumba, K. (2025). The IPOB question: Separatist agitation and state repression in Southeast Nigeria. African Journal of Social and Behavioural Sciences, 15(6), 2306. Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria. ISSN: 2141-209X.
25. Nnadozie, Chijioke. "Countering Insurgency in Nigeria." *International Journal of Security Studies* 4, no. 2 (2017): 102-118.
26. Nnalue, Chukwuma. "Ethnic Identity and Social Cohesion in Southeastern Nigeria." *African Sociological Review* 13, no. 1 (2009): 45-62.
27. Nwankwo, Chinedu. "Political Actors and Ethnic Movements in Nigeria." *Journal of African Politics* 15, no. 2 (2013): 122-139.
28. Nwosu, Chukwuma. "Historical Perspectives on the Igbo Nation." *Journal of Nigerian History* 10, no. 2 (2006): 45-63.
29. Oguche, Benjamin. "Political Instability and State Development in Anambra." *Journal of Nigerian Political Affairs* 7, no. 1 (2011): 89-104.
30. Ojo, Oladipo. 2019. *Resource Control and Conflict in Nigeria*. Abuja: Nigerian Academic Press.
31. Ojo, Oladipo. 2021. "The Resurgence of Separatist Violence in Nigeria: Causes and Implications." *African Security Review* 30 (2): 183-200.
32. Okechukwu, Uzoma. "Secessionist Movements and Political Stability in Nigeria." *African Journal of Political Science* 12, no. 2 (2017): 154-170.
33. Okechukwu, Uzoma. "Secessionist Movements and Political Stability in Southeast Nigeria." *African Journal of Political Science* 12, no. 2 (2017): 154-170
34. Okere, Henry. "Reconceptualizing Nigeria's Security Paradigm." *Journal of Security Studies* 3, no. 2 (2009): 77-89.

35. Okere, Henry. *Reconceptualizing Nigeria's Security Paradigm*. Lagos: Spectrum Publishers, 2009.
36. Okezie, E. C. (2022). Domestic violence: An ill wind that blows no good. International Journal of Diplomatic, Legal and International Studies, 10(3), 24-28.
37. Okezie, E. C. (2026). Evolving gender roles in Igbo communities: A historical perspective. International Journal of Society Reviews, 3(7), 83-92. Okezie, E. C. (2026). Impact of training and reward on employee performance in Enugu state civil service, 2010-2019. Journal of Arts, Environmental and Management Studies, 1(1), 70-82.
38. Okezie, E. C., Bamidele, J., & Anyaogu, P. (2023). The role of the national human rights in dealing with the widows' social exclusion in Anambra State, 2010–2020. International Journal of Management and Business Intelligence, 1(3), 235-254.
39. Okzie, C. E. (2021). Socio-cultural and ethnic reality of Nigeria: The challenge of development. International Journal of Arts, Languages and Business Studies, 6, 242-249.
40. Olawale, Akin. *Security and Conflict Management in Nigeria*. Lagos: Nigerian Institute of Security Studies, 2018.
41. Omiunu, F. I., & Ezirim, G. E. (2025). Separatist agitations and human security in South-East Nigeria, 2021-2023. South East Political Science Review, 10(1), 71-92.
42. Omoigui, Niyi. *The Nigerian Civil War: A Reappraisal*. Lagos: University of Lagos Press, 2014.
43. Onah, Vincent. "Ethnic Conflicts and Violence in Nigeria." *Journal of African Studies* 15, no. 3 (2017): 45-62.
44. Onuoha, Francis. 2018. "The Rise of Biafra Movements and Nigeria's Security Challenges." *Journal of African Security Studies* 15 (3): 232-249.
45. Osaghae, Eghosa E. *Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998.
46. SB Morgen. (2025). Four years of disruption: Unmasking the impact of IPOB's sit-at-home order in Southeast Nigeria. SB Morgen Research Report.
47. Tilly, Charles. *Social Movements, 1768–2012*. Boulder: Paradigm Publishers, 2004.
48. Ukpabi, Christopher. *The Politics of State Creation in Nigeria: The Case of Anambra*. Enugu: New Generation Books, 2003.
49. UNDP. *Human Development Report 1994*. New York: United Nations Development Programme, 1994.
50. United Nations. *Peace and Security in Nigeria*. New York: UN, 2015.
51. United Nations. *Report of the Secretary-General on Peace and Security*. New York: UN, 2015.